

ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Masahiro Tadokoro 501.40201X00 5596 09/893,577 06/29/2001 **EXAMINER** 02/09/2004 20457 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP CHEN, KIN CHAN 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 1800**

1765 DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,577	TADOKORO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kin-Chan Chen	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 8-40			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. ☑ Other: see attached sheet		K (ACA	The state of the s

Kin-Chan Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1765 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/893,577

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly proposed amendments (claims 1, 8, 18-22, 37-40) raise new issues. For example, the incorporation of claim 8 into claim 1 has changed the dependency of claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,577

Art Unit: 1765

Responses to Argument-After-Final-Rejection

Applicant has argued that the examiner cited Collins et al. (US 6,238,588 B1), Jeng et al. (US 5,282,925), and Toprac et al. (US 6,238,937) as references but not being made a part of the formal statement of the rejection. It is not persuasive. As has been stated in the office action, the **skilled artisan understands** that the residence time is directly related to the amount of reactive gas dissociation occurring in the plasma, the longer a gas molecule remains exposed to a plasma, the more likely it is that dissociation of the gas molecule will continue. See evidences in Collins et al. (US 6,238,588 B1), Jeng et al. (US 5,282,925), and Toprac et al. (US 6,238,937) in the record. The above-cited references are only as evidences of the well-known features /knowledge stated in the office action, see MPEP 2144.03, evidences of the well-known statements.

February 4, 2004

KIN-CHAN CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Wetin